

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/077,089 | 02/15/2002 | Heinz Wyss | 88265-5837 | 3490 | |
| 28765 | 7590 05/15/20 | | | | |
| WINSTON & STRAWN PATENT DEPARTMENT 1400 L STREET, N.W. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | WONG, LESLIE A | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3502 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1761 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003 | DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A-8-8

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/077,089

Wyss et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover : | sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | | |
| | - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | | | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (he application to be | (6) MONTHS fr | rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 16, 2</u> | <u>2002</u> | | | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action | ion is non-fin | ıal. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | tion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 🗶 | Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> | | | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claims | a | ire subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | | | · · | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | ; a) 🗌 accep | ted or b)[| objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be I | held in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | , | is: a) □ a | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office | action. | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) [| ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | au (PCT Rule | : 17.2(a)). | · · | | | | |
| | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | | |
| a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 | | | | | | | | |
| 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| _ | nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PT) | D-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| _ | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | t Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalan et al.

Dalan et al teach a process for the production of an evaporated milk containing fat comprising mixing whey with a milk product to form a lactic product, heat treating and concentrating the lactic product, heat treating and homogenizing the concentrate, cooling, packaging, and sterilizing the concentrated product (see entire patent, especially claims 1 and 7).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dalan et al in view of Engel et al.

Dalan et al disclose a process for the production of an evaporated milk containing fat comprising mixing whey with a milk product to form a lactic product, heat treating and concentrating the lactic product, heat treating and homogenizing the concentrate, cooling, packaging, and sterilizing the concentrated product (see entire patent, especially claims 1 and 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/077089

Art Unit: 1761

The claims differ as to the use of a calcium sequestering agent and carrageenan.

Page 3

Engel et al disclose a process for preparing a milk product comprising mixing a whey product solution with a milk source and further processing by heat treating, homogenizing, conditioning, and sterilizing (see entire patent, especially column 1, lines 30-62). Engel et al also disclose the use of a calcium sequestering agent and carrageenate components and times and temperatures to dissolve the whey (see entire patent, especially claim 1). Engel et al disclose the conventional use of a sequestering agent and carrageenan to prevent precipitation (see column 2, lines 8-59).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the calcium sequestering agent and carrageenan as taught by Engel et al in that Dalan et al because the use of a sequestering agent and carrageenan is conventional in the art. The sequestering agent and the carrageenan are used for no more than their art-recognized function.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/077089

Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after-final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LAW May 6, 2003